

Guix by Nix

Stealing an entire distro's bootstrap, one `.drv` at a time

Farid Zakaria · [nix.vegas](#)

■ You do not need to port a Guix package

■ to build it with Nix.

■ You need to sed it.

■ A `.drv` is not a Nix thing

It's an ATerm `Derive(...)` record. Guix writes the exact same format.

```
Derive(  
  [(output-name, output-path, hash-algo, hash), ... ],  
  [(input-drv-path, [output-names]), ... ],  
  [input-src-paths, ... ],  
  system, builder, [args, ... ],  
  [(env-key, env-value), ... ]  
)
```

`nix-daemon` and `guix-daemon` are both just **sandboxed builders** that eat one of these and produce its outputs.

A Guix derivation is already fully hermetic – every input, every source, every env var. There is nothing left to evaluate. So: translate, don't reimplement.

Receipts

Guix says:

```
Derive([("out", "/gnu/store/280xcws0...-minimal", "", ""), [], [],  
  "x86_64-linux", "/bin/sh", ["-c", "echo 'Success' > $out"],  
  [("PATH", "/bin"), ("out", "/gnu/store/280xcws0...-minimal")])
```

Nix says:

```
Derive([("out", "/nix/store/c6jjchlh...-minimal", "", ""), [], [],  
  "x86_64-linux", "/bin/sh", ["-c", "echo 'Success' > $out"],  
  [("PATH", "/bin"), ("builder", "/bin/sh"), ("name", "minimal"),  
    ("out", "/nix/store/c6jjchlh...-minimal"),  
    ("system", "x86_64-linux")])
```

Spot the difference. I'll wait.

■ The entire delta between two ecosystems

	Guix	Nix
Store prefix	<code>/gnu/store</code>	<code>/nix/store</code>
Output hashing	same algorithm	same algorithm, other store dir
Source fetcher	<code>builtin:download</code>	<code>fetchurl { urls = [...]; }</code>
FOD hash form	<code>base16 + r:sha256</code>	<code>SRI + flat/nar</code>

The store dir is folded into every output hash – so paths must be **recomputed**, not string-replaced. Otherwise: that's it. That's the whole impedance mismatch between two projects that have spent fifteen years pretending not to be siblings.

■ guix-transfer

~5,100 lines of Rust. Walks the DAG bottom-up, rewrites every `/gnu/store` reference, blanks the output paths, and lets `nix derivation add` recompute them. We never compute a Nix hash ourselves.

```
> guix-transfer /gnu/store/w9krgvil...-minimal.drv
Loading Guix derivation graph ... Loaded 1 derivations.
Translating bottom-up ...
/nix/store/gkag53gj...-minimal.drv

> nix-store --realise /nix/store/gkag53gj...-minimal.drv
/nix/store/c6jjchlh...-minimal

> cat /nix/store/c6jjchlh...-minimal
Success
```

No `stdenv`. No Nix expressions. No rewriting. A Guix derivation, built by the Nix daemon, living in `/nix/store`.

■ And there is no bootstrap boundary

The obvious design – detect Guix's toolchain, splice in Nix's `stdenv.cc` – is wrong *and* unnecessary. We translate the seeds too.

- The graph bottoms out in ~80 `builtin:download` FODs
- Those include the **seed binaries**, not just source tarballs
- Seeds are statically linked – no `PT_INTERP`, no baked-in `RPATH`
- So they run in the Nix sandbox unchanged; prefix never mattered
- Everything above is rebuilt, and comes out `/nix/store`

```
357-byte seed → hex0 → stage0-posix → mes → tcc → gcc-mesboot  
→ glibc → guile → gcc → ... → hello
```

Grown organically, inside Nix, from Guix's sources. Nobody wrote a special case.

■ Two things the .drv cannot tell you

Nix gives you `/bin/sh`. Guix gives you nothing. `guix-daemon`'s container has no `/bin` at all; nixpkgs builds Nix with `-Dsandbox-shell=...busybox`. Guix packages assume no shell exists – that's why Guix patches shebangs so hard – so builds silently take a different path.

Nix blocks `setuid/setgid`. Guix's early bootstrap untars with `gash-utils`' Scheme `tar`, which faithfully restores the `setgid` bit on directories:

```
chmod ".../pc/djgpp/" 0o42775 → Operation not permitted
```

```
> nix-store --realise \  
  --option filter-syscalls false \  
  --option sandbox-paths '' ...
```

Both are properties of the `daemon`, not the `plan`. Not expressible in a `.drv`.

■ GuixPkgs – do it for all of them

Every selected Guix package graph, translated **once per Guix commit**, and **checked into git** as plain **.nix** files. No IFD, no Guix daemon, no Guile at eval time. Pure evaluation, flake-compatible, eval caching intact.

packages (by-name/)	53
derivations (store/)	2,937
sources (sources/)	1,645
Guix commit	ac03c48

```
inputs.guixpkgs.url =  
  "github:fzakaria/guixpkgs";  
  
buildInputs = [  
  pkgs.git          # Nixpkgs  
  guixPkgs.hello    # Guix  
];  
  
# or shadow nixpkgs outright:  
(guixpkgs.lib.mkOverlay {  
  only = [ "hello" "tmux" ];  
})
```

■ Then boot it

```
> nix run --accept-flake-config github:adeci/guix-by-nix
```

A VM where every executable byte came from Guix, and Nix built all of it. GNU Shepherd as PID 1 – no systemd anywhere in the closure. `eudev`, `dhcpcd`, `openresolv`, serial `getty`, `linux-libre 6.12.62-gnu`. ~42 packages. The only things Nix authored are text files.

There's an **audit derivation** that classifies every store path by provenance, unpacking archives to inspect the executable payloads:

```
non-Guix ELF files ..... 0 rejected
untranslated /gnu/store refs . 0 rejected
systemd artifacts ..... 0 rejected
... 6 categories in total ..... 0 rejected
```

■ The number

The oldest joke in bootstrapping: **you need a JDK to build a JDK**. Everyone solves it by downloading a prebuilt blob and not talking about it. Guix climbs a 19-step ladder from C++: `jikes` → GNU Classpath → JamVM → `ecj` → IcedTea → OpenJDK 9 → ... → OpenJDK 25. GuixPkgs translates that ladder.

	Nixpkgs	GuixPkgs
Closure derivations	2,758	3,556
Bootstrap-only derivations	2	876
Method	135 MB binary tarball	full source chain

■ 2 versus 876. That gap is the entire trust story.

■ What this actually generalizes to

The **derivation** is the portable artifact – not the Nix expression. Relocating a build graph between stores means changing a prefix and recomputing hashes. Nothing more.

We now treat the build graph, via the derivations, as a portable artifact that can be relocated into any realm.

That works for alpha / beta / prod as distinct **realms**: promote one derivation graph through all of them. No re-evaluation, no matching nixpkgs revision, no source access, no eval-time impurity.

Guix-to-Nix is just the most obnoxious possible demo of it.

■ Steal this

Code – github.com/

- [fzakaria/guix-transfer](#)
- [fzakaria/guixpkgs](#)
- [adeci/guix-by-nix](#)

Cache – guixpkgs.cachix.org

Caveats: `x86_64-linux` only, leaf packages only,
and building from source needs `trusted-user`. A
provocation, not a packaging strategy.
Unaffiliated with either project.

Writing – fzakaria.com/2026/

- [06/08](#) relocatable derivations
- [06/25](#) guixpkgs as a nix flake
- [07/29](#) guix by nix
- [07/30](#) source-bootstrapped openjdk

■ Guix and Nix aren't rivals. Same machine, different paint.